G. R. S. 58]

Previous references.

Dmg 274-18-1 2
265- " -
267 16 1-6

1923.
(Dmg Misc)

File No. 304-32
Serial Nos. 1 – 7

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 7

Part B for February 1925

SUBJECT

Appeal petition of Srinivasa Sitaramaa Kulkarni against the D C's order directing the sale of Inam S. No. 8 Kudimoru to Sri Hanumantha Devaru temple in Sirapura, Harihar Sub-Taluq

No. 1271 dated 28.2.25 to the Dmg Com

In D.C. may consider the matter and pass such orders thereon as he considers proper

ROUTINE NOTES

[Be used only for notes, requisitions, etc. not intended for permanent record]

M.1 D. 2796 Appeal petition of Srinivasa Sitaram Kulkarni against D.C's order directing the sale of land S.No. 17 owned by Hanumanta Devaru temple in Sirapur Harijan Sub. Taluka.

Secretary's order:—

What has happened to the old appeal?

The old appeal was dealt with in Misc No 269—16, and the appeal then pre

G. R. S. III—3]

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc not intended for permanent record.]

was dismissed in S.O. no. 1233 - Muz. 269-16-6 dy 27.11.1917 with the direction that the lease of the said land should be disposed of by open competition.

A copy of the order now appealed against, has not been produced. The appellant says he will produce a copy on being granted. The date of its presentation may be availed.

Submitted.

6/6/24

1924

Copy of order cannot now be with the appeal papers

N. B.—Should routine notes be written on small slips the notes must be gloped or slips pasted on this form

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

~~Humbly~~ submitted the Dy Comrs order is Equitable & does not seem to call for any interference, so far as the Future Years is concerned.

7.6.24.

As regards the arrears Years amounts for the last four years at Rs 40 per annum from the appellants, the appellants say that the Cultivation of the puja ocours to maintain the no scale of Expenditure were fixed. If it is so, it may not be just & able to ask him to pay the Years amounts as well. The Dy Comr may perhaps be asked to investigate the truth of this statement

7.6.24.

Mem Secy/

As regards this Department if it seems to Dy Comrs order is quite fair & reasonable to the appellants & the Land for the Puja should be taken up & maintained but he may not choose to do so & in this case the Temple will

[illegible] written on small slips of [illegible] pasted on this form.

ROUTINE NOTES.

[To be used only for notes, requisitions, etc., not intended for permanent record.]

As regards the issue of the orders, the Secretary may be asked to report if it is true that a proposal of suspension was not forwarded by the Appellant's only surviving son in any event to the Government. The Secretary may also be called for.

11 December

9.12.24

Subs

A telegram from the Appellant for leave dated 22 July 1924 and a petition presented by Rev. T. S. Anantha Moorthi & L.C.B. Gonsalves, presented both 5th July 24 are also put

Should routine notes be written on small slip the notes must be copied or slips pasted on this form

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 306 of 19 23

Serial No.

1. The ad^r for the Dep^t Com^r against which he appeals to this Court was passed in appellate jurisdiction by this Court

Mr Ananthamurthi (advocate) appearing for the dep^t has filed a statement and in this appeal petition to this Court has the Rev Com^r had declined to entertain the appeal on the ground of want of jurisdiction & not competent & thus he has been prevented by his client with a copy of the Rent Commr (not Rev Com^r) order in which this appeal has rejected the appeal filed against the order of the Dy Com^r on the merits (& not on the ground of want of jurisdiction) In the circumstances he must this appeal to be heard as an appeal against the Rent Com^r order. As the ~~this~~ is urgent he states prays a separate app^n be filed copy of the Rent Com^r order & explaining the circumstances praying made for ~~there upon~~

(b) The ld state that his client has filed a petition to present his app^n to this Court for the arrears of rent for the Rent year. But he is also ready & pay rent for the land as per rate & the case as when it was first heard. After hearing the parties perused the petition & finding that some portion of the ~~petition~~ petition which he has objected to & denied ~~may~~ be accepted & amount to his case remanded to receive the rent

Note—Contn. sheet.

Reg 10.7.24 9/7/24

[G. R. S. III-2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. of 192 -.

Serial No.

From, Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni, Haribar,

Petition presented on [illegible]

Files a fresh petition appealing against the Muz. Comr. [illegible] Dy. Comrs. [illegible]

2g. 9)

A copy of the Muz. Comr's order is now filed. Mal officer has dismissed the appeal filed against the chitalsong Dy. Comrs. order, and has not stated directly that he has no jurisdiction as represented in para 10 of the previous appeal petition. Dy 5-6-1924. No explanation is forthcoming for the misrepresentation made by the apltt.

2g. 9)

J

NOTE—Contn. sheet

WD 0561 - GPB—3750—1 8 22

G. R. S. III. 2]

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 356 of 1923

Serial No.

In para 5 of his present petition, he states that he has been doing service and has spent for the last 5 years even more than the lease amount, that the scale of expr. has not been fixed by the authorities, and that he has since deposited Rs 160/ being the arrears of gutta, with the Amildar.

The grounds for appeal are summarized in para 4 of the present petition. The apte says that he is both a Valivattar and Archak of the temple. In consideration of his long possession and enjoyment for over 100 years, and as the,

which is to be examined,

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 386 of 1923

Serial No

all this vsm file

God is his family deity, and as the temple was built by his family on his own land, and as the land is endowed originally belonged to his family, he may be left in undisturbed possession and enjoyment of the land, ~~he may be left in undisturbed possession and enjoyment of the land.~~ He further begs that a stay order may be granted as the appt has ploughed the land and will suffer considerable loss if possession is given to the respondent, and that pending decision of suit he may be permitted to deposit Rs 100 during the

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561—GPB—3750—1 8 22

Muzrai Secretariat

Muz. File No. 366 of 1923

Serial No.

annual sum fetched dur. the auction sale.

Submit. for orders.

15.7.1924.

The land is only 4 acc 20 gunthas & is assessed at Rs 10. If, as alleged by the appellant, he is not only cultivating but also the archak of the temple, the surplus, after meeting the expenses of worship cannot be much, in which case, it may be probably be left in his hands under para 3 of G.O. dated 25th Feb 1919 (M C).

A Play may perhaps be issued, & the question examined after obtaining the records

15.7.24

Rec. Secy. The question of granting the stay order may be considered after the records - the matter having been pending

Records may be called for from the lower court & the order passed on receipt of the records

18

16

NOTE—Contn. sheet

VP. Secy.

384/16

VD 0561—SPB—3750—1-24

Whether a Slavonic
Lesbian visit or
refusal made
in Inmates

25.-

Stay under has
been issued to the
Br. C.
No order has
been issued.
Schmidt.

25.8.40. Brew 25.8.40.

Muzrai Secretariat | Muz. File No. 356 of 1923.

Serial No.

(1)

2 Offs. Sulmr.

AV 19.8.24. BL 19.8.24

(2) Issue copy to M.C

19.8.24

3 To the Muzrai Commr. No. 2-704 d. 20-8-24.

Pets. the above 2 petitions for report with records, and requests that the D. C's enquiry be held in advance of the appeal in view of the order

(1) Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni of Haribar has put in another petition praying that an end. C may be issued to him indicating the action taken on his appeal petition.

Sulmr. He may be replied that the Muzr Commr has been

AV 10.9.24 asked to send up records of the case & to stay execution proc: in case the Dy Commr has not taken action in the

NOTE—Contn sheet

WD 0561-1,PB- 3750-21.8.22-Pest-

BL 10.9.24

Ys 11.9.24

AV 25/9

. R. S. III-2]

WD 2810—GPP—10,000—31 5 24

General and Revenue Secretariat | File No. 306 of 1923

Serial No.

Dft. endt Submtd

AL/ 15.9.24 [illegible]

[illegible]

17 9 24.

4 To the petitioner Srinivasa Sitaram Kadkarni of Hanikan. No. 491 d. 23.9.24. Informs him of the action taken in M.3

5. From, The Inspl Comr

Letter no C. 830 dt 12-11-24.

Submits the entire records connected with the case.

The facts of the case are given at length in the office note of the Insp. Comrs. Office

The petr. urges that in consideration of his enjoyment for over 100 years, and as the God is his family deity, and as the temple was built by his family on his own land, and as the lands endowed originally belonged to his family, (vide para 15 of the office-note), he may be left in undisturbed possession of the lands. There are no documents

F

(appeal petition)

NOTE—Contn. sheet

on the pets. allegations, and it is for him to substantiate the above allegations.

If Secretary, the appeal will be posted for hearing with the next batch of cases.

Submitted

[signature]

Examine the Vernacular records and note with respect to them how far his allegations are true

[signature] 4.1.[illegible]

The Vern records were looked into. They confine themselves mostly to the dispersal of the Sadar & lease of the temple inam lands, steps taken for the recovery of the arrears due from these lands etc. In a petition addressed to the Dy Comr, the petr has stated that the temple lands have been in the enjoyment of his family for nearly 160 years from 1756 upto 1923, that he has been paying Jodi from the years 1882-92 which it was imposed, and that the temple is situated on S. No. 5/ which is in his private holden

G. R. S. III-2]

WD 2310—GPP—10,000—31 5-24

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 306 of 19 23.

Serial No.	
	There is a copy of the letters of the late Ch. Commr and this does not throw light on the points.
6	From, the Insp. General Letter no. C. 252 dy 3.12.24. Submits petition from Basappa of Haribar praying for permission to cut and remove the cotton crop on the said temple land & which is objected to by the ryots. Submits for orders. 11.12.24.
	This subject formed the subject matter of Appeal no. 6 of 1917-18 before H.H. and Council. after hearing the appellant on 25.4.19, orders were passed in G.O. No 1233/Misc 464-16-6 dy 17.11.19 that in view of Govt's orders of dispute of the land in question by final confirmation was quite negatived & he pay & that the appeal be dismissed.
	The appellant a Devadasi thereon
	Encl no. 1

NOTE—Contn. sheet

was decided by the parties that the order of 27.11.19 should be reconsidered and that in case he was willing to accept the lease at the enhanced rent, preferential consideration should be given to his claim for the possession of the land in question, but that the lease with the right to be granted at the end of 5 years.

Since, the appeal was dismissed. On this strength of the fact & for reasons given in the order of 27.11.19, the Asst. Comm has also rejected this appeal.

~~It is therefore requested in~~
~~the petition~~

The Petr says that his family has been holding the land for ~~at~~ least over 150 years, that the land has been given over to the temple by his ancestors, & that the temple is within his holding &c. ~~Petr~~ There is nothing in the Vernacular records in support of these statements.

A hearing may perhaps be given to the Petr who may be asked to produce any documents he may have in his possession in support of the above allegations, and in case he

. R. S. III-2]

WD 2910—GPE—10,000—31 8 24

General and Revenue Secretariat

File No. 306 of 1925

Serial No.

[illegible]
The lease may be for a
longer period - say 20 or 30 years,
provided [illegible] to
[illegible] of the Rent
fixed by the Lease.

H.L.
11/12/24

13 12 24

Rec. Regd
11 Mch

625
16 12

Can may be posted
for hearing by Tuesday 27th Jan.
when parties to be asked
to show cause why [illegible]
case in ancient)

20/1/25

Dft. Notice Submitted
A.G. 2.1.25. 2.1.25

case called
applicant in
advocate Mr. [illegible]
Resp' absent. (Resp's counsel not present)
Applicant's advocate heard
Order reserved

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

the claim of the appellant
for possession & management of the
land in question in his company
& Valuation has been already
rejected by first, I see no reason
to reconsider that order

The appellant now claims before first
to be given possession of the land on
payment of the temple Tax, however,
new claim which cannot be recognised
without an Enquiry about the purpose
regard for which the appellant stands
apply to the local officers in the
first instance

The only point for consideration is
whether in view of the long possession (for over 100 years)
land in question of the appellant, the
may not be given the land off from
to the Sharmyan. Both the first as well
as the local officers recognised the preferential
right to the lease, offered to take on the
terms which had been tendered to the Board as
he failed to take advantage of the offer
& no consequence. The Dep^t Com^r has
obliged to sanction the lease in favour of
one Gowda Nallappa for 5 years on the
rate of Rs 105 p.a.; The appellant is
now therefore hardly responsible
for long delay of the lease. However

and Revenue Secretariat.

File No.

Serial No.

as he represents that he is now engaged in cultivating the land hereby on an annual rental of Rs 100/-, the lease may be given to him for a period of 5 years, at the end of which the question of continuing the lease to him on the same or modified terms may be considered. In view of his poor attitude of the Patta, it should be made a condition that the lease that he should pay half his rental, for each year in advance the other half being payable before the close of the year

The lease granted to the auction purchaser from Nallampatti will be permanent, ~~to them subject~~ to the conditions of any already raised by him but year on the land being cleared to the removal of them, on condition of his paying the rental for the year.

The applicant has urged for the payment of a suitable remuneration to him for ~~the~~ cultivation on the rental realised from the land. The Dept can only consider this matter upon such orders issued on the enclosed paper

Dy Collector
17.2.25.

NOTE—Contd. on

9.275.

9.275.

Mang. File No. 306 of 1923.

Dy Order sub of abt (vide last page)

26
19-2-25

To the Manager Kemin[g] & Mano Co. 12706.
28-2-25. Fwd copy of Govt order in Manga.
& Mook no. 12 of 2 & 25 granting the lease of
the land in question to the applicants for a
period of 5 years on an annual rental of Rs 11
and terminating the lease granted to the one
time purchaser Gunda Mahatpa.



5-JUN1924
MUZRAI

Presented by
Pl Advocate
Muzj.306-23-T.

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H.THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1923-24.

Srinivasa Sitaram Kulkarni, residing in Harihar. — Appellant.

--VS--

Mallappa bin Siddappa, Agriculturist, Harihar. — Respondent.

Under Section 21 of the Mysore Muzrai Regulation the appellant abovenamed most humbly begs to prefer this appeal against the order passed by the Chitaldroog Deputy Commissioner on 23-1-24 finally ordering the Tenancy Sale of S.No.17 Serapur Inam, for the following among other grounds:-

1. The said Inam has been in undisturbed - possession of the family of the appellants for over 100 years and the services connected therewith have all along been and are being done unceasingly and diligently.

2. In 1917 the tenancy of the Inam was sold for five years for Rs.40/- per annum and the present appellant had to purchase it under protest in obedience to the order passed by the then Deputy - Commissioner on 30-8-1916. This order was made - illegally without any necessity or evidence as required by Sections 8 and 9 of the Muzrai Regulation.

3. The appellant appealed against that order and was able finally to obtain a stay - order in an application in Muzrai Appeal No.6 of 1917-18 before the present Dewan Saheb, who was pleased to grant the same. It was submitted to the Dewan Saheb that the Inam was a 3rd class one, that its management is to be left entirely to the hands of the Inamdar and so that the order of sale of its tenancy was opposed to G.O.2514-25-Muz-371-13-2 of 2nd April 1917, and other several decisions of Government in parallel cases. However that appeal has not yet been disposed of. The appellant subject to the final orders of Government took the lease on Rs.40/- per annum and has been spending the whole of it in proper discharge of the services of the Temple.

5. The Amildar who ought to have made scales of fees, expenses &c. in pursuance of Government Order, failed to do so and consequently the appellant was left with the option of spending the income towards temple services in a manner which has become immemorial by - usage for over 100 years and in which he has been spending throughout this generation. This liberty was given to the appellant by virtue of Government Proceedings in Order No.2594-6-2 Muzrai 366-18-2 dated 25-2-1919.

6. The local authorities who were aware that the appellant was spending the income in the manner aforesaid towards the temple servi-

-ces

services, could not legally interfere and so did not interfere these four years in obedience to - the order mentioned in paragraph No.5 supra.

7. In 1924 however the learned Deputy Commissioner in connection with applications made by the enemies of the present appellant has passed the order of sale which is now appealed against. It is most respectfully submitted that the said - order is directly opposed both in spirit and letter to the standing Government Orders and several clear decisions of Government in similar cases which have come up before them for disposal.

8. The lease of the land has therefore been sold and the respondent has purchased it.

9. The appellant therefore prays:-

(a) that the order of sale passed by the learned Deputy Commissioner be cancelled and the sale be annulled,

(b) that the appellant may be declared not to be liable to pay Rs.40/- a year, for - the last four years because he has already - spent it towards the services of the temple, and that he is entitled to be Inamdar competent to use the income towards the temple according to his discretion, and to the scales if prepared by the local authorities,

(c) that a stay order be kindly granted staying further steps being taken to recover

the amount from him and that his possession be left undisturbed till the disposal of this appeal.

10. The appellant has submitted this appeal before the Revenue Commissioner on - 3-2-24 and after giving notice to the appellant, the learned Revenue Commissioner ordered on 30-5-1924 that he had no jurisdiction and directed the appellant to submit the - appeal before Government. An endorsement to that effect from the Revenue Commissioner will be produced on its being granted. The appellant humbly prays that the delay in filing the appeal may be condoned under Sections 14 and 5 of the Limitation Act and this appeal be admitted and heard.

BANGALORE
5-6-1924.

T. S. Krishnamurthy

ADVOCATE for the appellant.



BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF H.H.THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE, ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

192 3–24 ನೆ Muzrai Appeal ನಂಬರು

ವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ್.		ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ಯಾ ಅಪ್ಪೋನೆಂಟ್.
Srinivasa Sitaram Kulkarni. (Appellant)	Vs.	Mallappa. (Respondent)

ನು॥ ರಾ॥ T.S.Ananthamurthi, B.A.,LL.B., ADVOCATE. ಗೆ

Appellant ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಬೈರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಶಿಲ್ಕು ಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

ರುಜು. Srinivasa Sitaram Kulkarni.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ತರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.

T.S. Am.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

T.S. Ananthamurthy Advocate

ತಾರೀಖು...5...6.....1924.

Standard Press, 35 Manavarthepet, Bangalore City.

leasing of the land the appli-
cant may be given the option
of taking up the land on the
rights and offered by others.
If he is not prepared to
take it up on this condition,
the rights of the land may
be disposed of in the open market
for their years as per
rules.

If suitable applicants
may be obtained
to the application

The records and
the petition bearing No A
71 are returned herewith
his application No A 484 dated
21.4.23 & N.D.C. of 10.5.8 of 21-22
dated 19.7.23 are herewith
for ready

Yours P. Nandakumar Rao
Deputy Commissioner
& Ex-officio Dist-

Dated 9-12-1924

Dy. Commissioner
Dist Superintendent
Dist Forest Officer
Deputy Commissioner's office
Cuddapah Dist

10/4/24

Copy Application 25/3/24 Applicant told to appear on 9th inst
Copy ready on 10/4/24
Copy delivered on 8th inst



18.1.24

Reference :-

Petition dated 18th January 1924 presented by Mr. P. Sreedhar Raja Iyengar Pleader on behalf of Seshamma Gilla Ramanna Kaveri praying Real-Sale of Deva-puram Land Survey No 17 may be stayed and Real Sale-directing the Taking and The Devaram Lands be Restored

Read the above as also
the records received from
the Deputy Tahsildar
Harihar on the subject -

There appears to be no
reason to interfere with
the orders passed by the
undersigned on 9.12.23.
in this behalf.

The review appli-
cation is rejected.

The Deputy Tahsildar
will be heard to the
following effect -

"Site regarding lease
Survey No 1/ Part. Survey
No 1/ need not be
Stayed. Supreme Court
Vas Vidyakarma

The Entire records
are -

Copy ready on 10/4/24 To approach of records.
Copy delivered on 8/4/24

are returned herewith.

/Sd/ R. Ramaswamy Rao

Deputy Commissioner

23/1/24

Chief-Alderey Division

Copy ____

Bo

J Sankunni

Dist Sheristedar

Deputy Commissioner's office

Chirakkal Alderey Division

10/4/24

(1) Copy applied on 25/3/24

(2) Applicant told 10/4/24 Applin received by post to appear on

(3) Copy ready on 10.4.24

(4) Copy delivered on Sent for delivery to the Dy Amildar on 12/4/24

(5) No of words 126

(6) Copying fees 0-4-0

(7) Comparing fees - 0-0 8

(8) Copied by /Sd/ Rama Rao

(9) Comp

ಎರಡು, TWO RUPEES ೨-೯

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF MYSORE.

Muzrai Appeal of 1923-24.

Miscellaneous Petition of 1923-24.

PETITIONER:- (V S.) OPPONENT:-

Srinivas Sitaram Mallappa

Kulakarni, HARIHAR. HARIHAR

***

The Petitioner humbly begs to state as follows:--

1. The aforesaid appeal has not been disposed of.

2. The Petitioner believed that G. O. No. 2101, Muz.187-22-2 dated 18-1-1923 overruled the previous orders of Government, namely, G. O. 1233, Muz.269-16-6 dated 29-12-1917, and 25-77 Muz 274-18-2 dated 26-2-1919

3. The learned Muzrai Commissioner has passed orders in pursuance of the latter orders of 1917 and 1919.

4. The Petitioner humbly feels that the G. O. No. 2101 of 18-1-23 does override the previous orders.

5. Before this point is decided, by the Government

Government in this appeal, the Petitioner be deprived of possession of the lands.

6. Subject to final decision of the Government on this point of law, the Petitioner begs to state that he is ready to deposit the lease amount himself for this year.

7. The Petitioner has spent a big sum of money on improvement of the land. and has already ploughed it for this year.

8. Therefore, the Petitioner respectfully prays that an order accepting this offer of the Petitioner may be passed and that possession of Petitioner may be retained and that the local authorities be directed to receive the lease amount and to retain the Petitioner in possession of the lands which are in possession of the Petitioner's family for over 100 years and they are devout worshippers of Hanumantadevaru for whose worship the Inam was granted.

9. The Petitioner humbly prays that an order to this effect may be granted immediately because the local authorities are arranging to deprive the Petitioner of the possession of the Inam lands.

Bangalore,
5th July 1924.

Petitioner.

G S Anantharamu Rao

ADVOCATE FOR THE PETITIONER.

GEN. & REV SECRETARIAT.
14 JUN 1924
MUZRAI

Muz.306-23-5 Dno. 74

TWO RUP S ٢-٥٥٥

BEFORE THE GOVERNMENT OF HIS HIGHNESS THE MAHARAJA OF MYSORE.

****

Muzrai Appeal No. of 1924 - 25.

..*.*.*.*

APPELLANT:-	RESPONDENT:-
Srinivas Sitaram Kulkarni, Harihar.	Mallappa bin Siddappa, Agriculturist, Harihar.

UNDER SECTIONS 21 and 22, the Appellant begs to prefer this appeal against the order No. 19 dated 17th June 1924 passed by the Muzrai Commissioner confirming the order passed by the Chitaldroog Deputy Commissioner on 23rd January 1924 directing the lease by auction of Survey No. 17 of Serapur Village, Harihar Sub-Taluk, endowed to Hanumantadevaru at the place for the following among other grounds, namely:-

1. The appellant is not merely a Vahivatdar of the Temple; he also performs of the functions of the Pujari and is a servant of the temple.

2. The ~~family~~ ancestors of the appellant for 3 generations have been doing service as above - stated

stated and after spending the required sums of money for Sravanabhishekam, the balance, if any, was being taken as fair remuneration of services.

3. The yield of the land was very little and on account of considerable improvements made by the appellant, it has now increased in value.

4. The learned Muzrai Commissioner failed to give special weight to the following special circumstances:-

(a). The land itself originally belonged to the appellant's family, who surrendered the land to Government to be given back as Inam to the Temple.

(b). The temple was built by the appellant's ancestors. It stands on his own land.

(c). The God is the family deity of the appellant.

(d). The appellant has spent on several occasions more than the yield of the land for temple services.

(e). The land has been in possession and enjoyment of the appellant's family for over 100 years, not merely as Pujari but also as Vahivatdars.

5. The appellant has been doing service

and

and has spent for the last 5 years even more than the lease amount in a manner which has become immemorial because the lists and scales of expenditure were not prepared by the authorities. Besides, the appellant has now deposited Rs. 160/- with the Amildar. The delay is due to his bonafide belief that the aspect of the case put forward by him will find favour and meet with approval by the Government.

6. Therefore, the appellant humbly -- prays agreeably with decisions of Government in Harnahalli and other cases

(a) that the order appealed against be set aside and that in accordance with Government Order No. 2594-602/ Muz. 366-18-2 of 25th February 1919 he may be left in undisturbed - possession and enjoyment of the - land;

(b) that pending the final decision of Government, the appellant may be permitted to deposit Rs. 100/-;

(c). that his possession be left undisturbed till the disposal of this appeal on his depositing Rs. 100/-;

(d) that a STAY ORDER be granted to - that effect because the appellant has already ploughed the land and will suffer considerable loss if possession is given to the Respondent;

a n d

(e). that any other and further relief that may be deemed fit in the - circumstances, may kindly granted

to the appellant.

BANGALORE,
14th JULY 1924.

APPELLANT.

Of S. Ananthamurthy.
ADVOCATE FOR APPELLANT.



Before the Government of Mysore ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ.

1924—25ನೆ. Mur Zahfeal ನಂಬರು

~~ಮಾದಿಯಾ~~ ಅರ್ಜೀದಾರ್. ~~ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ~~ ಆಫ್ ಅಪ್ಪೋನೆಂಟ್.

Srimlara Sitaram Kulkarni } Vs. { Mallappa

ಮು॥ ರಾ॥ J. S. Manikamurthy Ba. B.L. Advocate appellant ಬರಸಿಕೊಟ್ಟ ವಕಾಲತ್‌ನಾಮಾ.

ಈ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ತಾವು ನನ್ನ ಪರವಾಗಿ ಆಕ್ಷೇರುವರಿಗೂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿವಾದ ಜರಗಿಸುವದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನ್ನ ಪರ ಆಗುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ವಿಧವಾದ ಆರ್ಡರನ್ನೂ ಡಿಕ್ರಿಯನ್ನೂ ಜಾರಿಕ್ರಮ ನಡಿಸಿ ಹಣ ವಸೂಲುಮಾಡಿ ಕೊಳ್ಳುವುದಕ್ಕೂ, ನನಗೆ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನಿಂದ ಸಲ್ಲಬೇಕಾಗಿರುವ ಡಿಕ್ರಿ ಮೊಬಲಗು, ಕಿಲ್ಲು ಮೊಬಲಗು ರೀಫಂಡು ಸ್ಟ್ಯಾಂಪು ಮೊಬಲಗು ದಸ್ತೈವಜು ವಗೈರೆಗಳನ್ನು ವಾಪಸು ಪಡಿಯಲಿಕ್ಕೂ ಸಹ ತಮಗೆ ಈ ಮೂಲಕ ಸಂಪೂರ್ಣ ಅಧಿಕಾರ ಕೊಟ್ಟಿದ್ದೇನೆ. ಅಲ್ಲದೆ ತಾವಾಗಲಿ ಯಾ ತಮಗೋಸ್ಕರ ಮತ್ಯಾವ ಲಾಯರಾಗಲಿ ಡಿಟೊ ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ನಡಿಸುವ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ನಾನು ಖುದ್ದು ಇದ್ದು ನಡಿಸಿದಂತೆ ಒಪ್ಪಿಕೊಳ್ಳಲು ಬದ್ಧನಾಗಿ ಇದ್ದೇನೆ.

Srimlara Sitaram Kulkarni

ರುಜು.

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಕೊಟ್ಟವರು ನನಗೆ ಪರಿಚಯ ಸ್ಥರಾಗಿ ನನ್ನ ಸಮಕ್ಷಮ ರುಜು ಮಾಡಿರುತ್ತಾರೆ.
T S Am

ಈ ಮೊಖ್ತಾರುನಾಮೆ ಅಂಗೀಕರಿಸಿ ಇದ್ದೇನ.

ತಾರೀಖು 14-7-1924.

Standard Press, 35 Manavarthepet, Bangalore City.

Order of the Muzrai Commissioner
in Mysore

Subject:— Appeal petition No. C.
19 dated 5-2-24 of Srinivasa Seetha-
ram Kulkarni, Shanbhog, Haribar
Town, against the order of the Deputy
Commissioner, Chitaldrug District
directing the lease by auction of S. No.
17 of Sirapur village, Haribar Sub
Taluk, endowed to Hanumantha devara
at the place.

Facts of the case.

In pursuance of G. O. No.
2577/ Muz/ 274-18-2 dated 20-2-19,
S. No. 17 of Sirapur village endowed to
the Hanumantha devaru at the place,
was leased to the appellant on an
annual

annual rental of Rs 401- for 5 year
from the year 1918-19. The appellant
paid the 1st year's quit. amount in
advance and defaulted in respect
of the succeeding years saying that
the land being service Inam of a
village institution he had petitioned
the authorities in the matter of the
impropriety of Government interfe-
rence regarding its lease etc. The
Sub Division officer Davangere
directed the adoption of coercive
steps for the recovery of the arrears
due and also the sale by auction
of the lease of the land for a further
term, the previous one having expired
by then. Against this order, he appealed
to the Deputy Commissioner who
in his order of 19.12.23 directed that
the lease of the land may be sold
by

by auction, giving the applicant the option of having the lease ~~effected~~ ~~issued~~ for the highest bid offered by others. The present appeal is against this order The land has been sold for an annual jutta of Rs 100/- on ~~24-1-24~~ 24-1-24 and the auction purchaser Govedara Mallappa is urging to be put in possession of the land. The appellant was heard on the date of hearing. (30.5.24.)

Order No 19 dated 17.6.1924.

Government having twice rejected the claim of the appellant to the land vide G.O. Nos 1233/Mus 269-16-6 dated 27-11-17 and 2577/Mus/274-18-2 dated 20.2.19 and he being (9) unwilling to accept the lease on the terms offered by Government, this court cannot interfere. The appeal is dismissed. The Deputy Commissioner should have carried out his orders, no stay order

having been issued from this office

(Signed) K. Chandy

Muzzai Commissioner

Copy to Deputy Commissioner,
Chitaldrug District returning the
records received with his nos. C. Pet.
284/23 24 dated 7th and 12 April 24.

"Copy"

[signature]

For Muzzai Commissioner

BK 27/6/24

Date of application 16. 6. 1924

Date when copy was ready 27. 6. 1924

Date of delivery to party 4. 7. 1924.

No of words. 345.

Accounts 0-8-0
Comparing fee. 3-1-4 } 0-9-4

Copied by. B. Ramaswamy

Compared by. B. Kesharianga.

JUTE SOLED CANVAS SHOES very strong and comfortable. From 12 annas a pair. Write Indian Jute Shoe Co. Ltd. P. O. Box 78, Calcutta.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Charges to pay. Rs.	As.	Office Stamp.

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.
Nandkar	2	9	30		51

TO Secretary Council of State

Received here at 9 H. 15 M.

Reply prepaid

Pandit

Hfd 1924 Inquire by order forward further action

Imperial Specials Cigarettes

Lal Chand & Sons—789—5 11 23—60,000 Bks (S. E.) Advertising Agents: Indian States and Eastern Agency. 70, Apollo Street, BOMBAY. 8, Temple Chambers, CALCUTTA.

DELIVERED AT 9.30 P.M.

DUNLOP

Schweppes DRY GINGER ALE.

MARCONI'S WIRELESS TELEGRAPH CO LTD, LONDON.
WIRELESS TELEGRAPH & TELEPHONE SETS TO SUIT
ALL DISTANCES—RELIABLE—EASY TO WORK—CHEAP TO MAINTAIN
INSTALLATION UNDERTAKEN BY RESIDENT MARCONI ENGINEERS
ADJOINING TO THE AGENTS for INDIA — INDIAN STATES & EASTERN AGENCY

THE RELAY AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE CO, LTD
RELAY HOUSE LONDON
THE "RELAY" SYSTEM
IS IN USE BY
THE BRITISH POST OFFICE
THE ADMIRALTY
THE GOVERNMENTS OF INDIA
AUSTRALIA SOUTH AFRICA &c
SPEEDY SECRET SIMPLE
INFALLIBLE
ENQUIRIES TO THE AGENTS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY — TO APPLY at 5 EMPIRE CHAMBERS 32 CLIVE LOVELOCK RAYMOND TELEGRAPH CO LTD BOMBAY

UPHOLSTERY CLOTH
PEGAMOID
WON'T CRACK
WON'T BLISTER
Agents - 8, APOLLO ST., BOMBAY.

The CENTRAL BANK of INDIA

RAWLPLUGS
INDIAN STATES & EASTERN AGENCY

BUCK.
The Distributors
T R Pvt Ltd Bombay
M I Ltd London

For PASSAGES HOME Wire To
GRINDLAY & CO
BOMBAY CALCUTTA or SIMLA
BANKERS & AGENTS

Quaker Oat
The food that builds brains and bodies

"Every Monday"
The Tank that NEVER FAILS!
INDIAN STATES & EASTERN AGENCY LIMITED.

TRAVEL BY ELLERMANS
CITY & HALL LINES
Telegrams "Hallcoke" Bombay "Steamers" Karachi

TUCK'S
CHRISTMAS & OTHER GREETING CARDS
CHILDREN'S BOOKS CALENDARS
FACSIMILE LETTER NOTE AND
PICTURE CARDS STATIONERY &c

B.V. GOLF BALLS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY
70, Apollo St., Bombay.
4 Bentinck Road, Calcutta

JUTE SOLED CANVAS SHOES very strong and comfortable. From
12 annas a pair. Write Indian Jute Shoe Co, Ld. P. O. Box 78, Calcutta.

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE

Em must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.

Charges to pay.

Rs.	As.

TO

Recd. here at H. M.

[illegible] wish for [illegible] survey
No. 17 Atg [illegible] Kumbhar
intends taking [illegible]
land [illegible] and give me the
undertaking [illegible]

Imperial Specials Cigarettes

Lal Chand & Sons—789—5-11-25—50,000 Bks. (S. B.)

Advertising Agents!
Indian States and Eastern Agency. 70, Apollo Street, BOMBAY. 5, Temple Chambers, CALCUTTA

DUNLOP FLOATS
DUNLOP TYRES

DUNLOP
"NO
Bedarees DRY GINGER ALE.
MARCONI'S WIRELESS TELEGRAPH CO LTD LONDON.
WIRELESS TELEGRAPH & TELEPHONE SETS TO SUIT
ALL DISTANCES—RELIABLE—EASY TO WORK—CHEAP TO MAINTAIN
INSTALLATION UNDERTAKEN BY RESIDENT MARCONI ENGINEERS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY
THE RELAY AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE CO., LTD
"RELAY" HOUSE LONDON
THE "RELAY" SYSTEM
IS IN USE BY
THE BRITISH POST OFFICE
THE ADMIRALTY
THE GOVERNMENTS OF INDIA
AUSTRALIA SOUTH AFRICA &c
SPEEDY SIMPLE
INSTALLABLE
AND OUTLIVES TO THE AGENTS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY
RAWLPLUGS
BUY A BUICK.
The Original Quaker Oats
"Green Cross"
The Torch that NEVER FAILS!
TRAVEL BY ELLERMANS
CITY & HALL LINES
"Allick" Bombay
"Camels" Karachi
THE WORLD'S ARTISTS
THACKER'S
CHRISTMAS & OTHER GREETING CARDS
CHILDREN'S BOOKS CALENDARS
SILK & CHIMNEY QUILTE GIFT BOOKS
The Central Bank of India
For PASSAGES HOME
GRINDLAY
BOMBAY
CALCUTTA
& SIMLA
BANKERS & AGENTS
"GALIRUB" GOLF BALLS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY
70, Apollo St., Bombay.
4, Elysium Row, Calcutta.

JUTE SOLED CANVAS SHOES very strong and comfortable. From 12 annas a pair. Write Indian Jute Shoe Co, Ltd P. O. Box 78, Calcutta.

C

POSTS TELEGRAPHS.

NOTICE.

This form must accompany any inquiry made respecting this Telegram.

The name of the Sender, if telegraphed, is written after the text.

Charges to pay.	
Rs.	As.

Office Stamp

Handed in at (Office of Origin.)	Date.	Hour.	Minute.	Service Instructions.	Words.

TO

Recd. here at H. 5

Order

Arrears of Wages

Rukkeram Handa

Imperial Specials Cigarettes

Lal Chand & Son—789—5 II 23—60 300 Bks (S 2) Advertising Agents Indian States and Eastern Agents 70, Apollo Street BOMBAY 5, Temple Chambers, CALCUTTA.

DUPLEX FIRE PROOF SAFES

DUNLOP "NO TROUBLE"

THE SUNDAY.

DUNLOP "NO TROUBLE" Tyres

Schweppes DRY GINGER ALE.

MARCONI'S WIRELESS TELEGRAPH CO LTD. LONDON.
WIRELESS TELEGRAPH & TELEPHONE SETS TO SUIT
ALL DISTANCES—RELIABLE—EASY TO WORK—CHEAP TO MAINTAIN
INSTALLATION UNDERTAKEN BY RESIDENT MARCONI ENGINEERS
ENQUIRIES TO THE AGENTS for INDIA INDIAN STATES & EASTERN AGENCY

THE RELAY AUTOMATIC TELEPHONE CO, LTD
RELAY HOUSE, LONDON
THE "RELAY" SYSTEM
IS IN USE BY
THE BRITISH POST OFFICE
THE ADMIRALTY
THE GOVERNMENTS OF INDIA
AUSTRALIA SOUTH AFRICA &c
SPEEDY SECRET-SIMPLE
INTELLIGIBLE
ENQUIRIES TO THE AGENTS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY 70 APOLLO ST BOMBAY

RAWLPLUGS
INDIAN STATES & EASTERN AGENCY CALCUTTA

BUY A BUICK.
Sole Distributors
T. E. Pond Ltd Bombay
M. T. Co

THE CENTRAL BANK OF INDIA LIMITED

For PASSAGES HOME Wire to GRINDLAY & CO BOMBAY CALCUTTA or SIMLA

The One Perfect Dressing
Cherry Blossom Boot Polish

The Torch that NEVER FAILS!
TRAVEL BY ELLERMANS
CITY & HALL LINES
Telegrams "Klick" Bombay "Stanmers" Karachi

THE WORLD'S BEST SERVICE
TUCK'S

"CAVENDISH" GOLF BALLS
INDIAN STATES AND EASTERN AGENCY
70, Apollo St., Bombay.
4, Mission Row, Calcutta.

Muz 4]

SUBJECT ....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to ____

Section

File No.

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 274
nine cents

To

The Muzrai Comr

Date of issue 21.8.24

Signed by Asst. T. Ramaiya

Sir,

I am directed to forward herewith for favor of report with records petitions nos. 74 and 229 of 1924 with their enclosures from Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni of Harihar appealing against the Dy. Comrs. Order directing the Sale

over.

of the Suit of inam
S. no. 17 endowed to Sri
Hanumanta Devaru
temple at Siapura
Harihar Sub. Taluk, and
to restrain that you may
be so good as to ~~stay~~ hold
~~execution of~~ in abeyance the Dy. Coms'
order ~~appealed against~~
~~pending the final disposal~~
~~of the appeal petition,~~
if it has not been
already given effect to in the
original suit appellate court

I have the

honour to be

Sir

Your most obedient servant

Sd/-

Hon. Rev. Secretary

24/9.8.24

List of enclosures

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

Muz 4]

SUBJECT .....

.....

.....

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

Section Muz 7

File No

Serial No.

Muzrai Secretariat

( )

, *the* 192 .

No. 464

Date of issue 23-9-24

To

Signed by Sri T. Ramaya

Endorsement granted to Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni of Haribar with ref. to his petition dated nil and 22.9.24 appealing against the Dy. Comrs. order directing the sale of the Inam S. no. 17 endowed to Sri Hanumanta Devaru temple at Sirapura in the Haribar Sub Taluk

over.

The petr is informed that a report has been called for from the Hon. Comr who has been requested to hold in abeyance the Dy Comr's. order appealed against pending the final disposal of the appeal petition, if the said order has not been already given effect to

By Order,

Secretary,
Rev. Dept.

15/9/24

List of enclosures.

Copied by

Examined by

WD 0563—GPB—2,500—27 7 22

14430

CENTRAL REVENUE BOARD
12. SEP. 1924
SECRETARIAT

REVENUE & AGRICULTURE DEPT.
16 SEP 1924
MUZRAI

No. 327

6/9/24

QV
16/9

13C50

8 - SEP. 1924

4/9/24

No. C 830/23-24 ewe files Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 12th November 1924.

" 771

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.





Dno 686

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A., Muz. 306-23-5.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Ref his Sir,

With reference to your letter No.274/Muz.306-23-3 dated 21st August 1924 re the petitions of Srinivasa Sitaram Aulkarni of ---- Harihar appealing against the Deputy Commissioner's order directing the sale of gutta Inam S.No.17 endowed to Sri Hanumantha Devaru -- temple at Sirapura, Harihar Sub Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the entire records bearing on the subject together with the said petitions.

The facts of the case are fully set forth in the preamble to this office order R.Dis.No.69 of 24-25 dated 30th October 1924.

The appeal petition with its enclosures received with your letter under reply is returned.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

for Muzrai Commissioner. Asst to

(Absent from Hd quarters)

KSR.

immediate

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮೆ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರವಿನ್ಯು
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

ನಂಬರ್. 971 Executive ಕಛೇರಿ January 25
ಆಜ್ಞಾ 306-25

ಕುರಿಕುರಬಗಳ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ತಾಲ್ಲೂಕು ಅಮಲ್ದಾರ್ರಿಗೆ ಬರಸಿ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿದ ನಿರೂಪ ಅದಾಗಿ—

ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಸ್ಟ 1924-1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಫೀಸ್ 12 ನೆ ನಂಬರು

(1) ತ್ರೈನಿವಾಸ ಸೀತಾರಾಂ
ತ್ರಿಲಲ ವೆಂಕಟೇ

ಮೊಕದ್ದಮೆಯ ಕಕ್ಷಿಗಾರರು ಮೋರ್ಜಿನ್ನಲ್ಲಿ ನಮ್ಮುದಾದವರ

(2) ಮಲ್ಲಪ್ಪಾಚಾರಿ ಶಿವಪಳ್ಳಿ.

ಪೆಸರಿಗೆ ತಯಾರಾದ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಇದರ ಹಮೇರಹಾ

ಕಳುಹಿಸಿರುತ್ತೆ. ಕ್ರಮ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಜಾರಿಯಿಂದ ಆಸಲ್ ನೋಟಿಸುಗಳನ್ನ ಕೂಡ್ಲೆ ಈ ಆಫೀಸಿಗೆ ವಾಪಸ್
ಕಳುಹಿಸತಕ್ಕದ್ದು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮೊಹೆ 191 ನೆ

ಇಸವಿ, ದರ ಜಾಗಾ

By Order,

Secretary.

GPB--1,000--8 8 11

ಈ ಕುರಿಕುರಬರ ಬಗ್ಗೆ ಕೋಲಾರದ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರವರು ಕಳಿಸಿದ
ಬಿಲ್ಲಿನ ಕಡತ ಮತ್ತು ಪ್ರೊಸೀಡಿಂಗ್ಸ್‌ನ್ನು
ಕಳಿಸಿ ಈ ಕಡತವನ್ನು ಕೋಲಾರದ ಕಲೆಕ್ಟರವರಿಗೆ
ಕಳಿಸಿಕೊಡಲು ಕೋರಿ ಕಳಿಸಿದೆ.
27-1-25


14. JAN. 1925

ನೋಟಿಸ್.

ಮೈಸೂರು ಸಂಸ್ಥಾನದ ಶ್ರೀಮತ್ ಮಹಾರಾಜರವರ ಗೌರ್ನಮೆಂಟ್ ಜನರಲ್ ಮತ್ತು ರೆವಿನ್ಯೂ
ಸೆಕ್ರೆಟೆರಿಯವರ ಕಚೇರಿಯಿಂದ.

1924—1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಮುಜರಾಯಿ ಅಪೀಲು ನಂಬರು .1.2.....

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ

ಕುರಿಕುರದಳ್ಳಿ.
ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಚಾರಿಯರು
ತಹಲತ್-ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ

ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ

ಕುರಿಕುರದಳ್ಳಿ -
ತಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಪ್ಪುಚಿಕ್ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರು

ವಿಷಯ.

ಕುರಿಕುರದಶ್ರೀಲಕ್ಷ್ಮೀನರಸಿಂಹಸ್ವಾಮಿವಾರಿಕ
ಮಮಂತೆದೇವರಬಾಬ್ತಿನಲ್ಲಿ ನಡೆಸಿಕೊಳ್ಳುವ

ಮೇಲ್ಕಂಡ ಅಪೀಲು ಪ್ರತಿವಾದಿ ತಮ್ಮಣ್ಣಪ್ಪುಚಿಕ್ಕೆಂಪಯ್ಯಂಗಾರ್ಯರಿಗೆ

ಅಪೀಲು ವಾದಿ ಶ್ರೀನಿವಾಸಚಾರಿಯರು ತಹಲತ್-ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿ ಇವರು

~~ಮಳವಳ್ಳಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಡಿಸ್ಟ್ರಿಕ್ಟ್ ಡೆಪ್ಯೂಟಿ ಕಮಿಷನರವರ ಹುಕುಮಿನ~~ ಮೇಲೆ ದಾಖಲುಮಾಡಿ ಇರುವ ಅಪೀಲು 1925 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ ಆಗಸ್ಟ್ ತಿಂ 27 ಇಪ್ಪತ್ತೇಳನೆ ತಾರೀಖಿನ ದಿವಸ ಇಲ್ಲಿನ ಕಛೇರಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಮಿನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿಚಾರಣೆ ಮಾಡಲ್ಪಡುವದ್ದರಿಂದ, ಆ ದಿವಸ ಪೂರ್ವಾಹ್ನ 12 ಘಂಟೆಗ ನೀನು ಈ ಕಛೇರಿಗೆ ಹಾಜರಾಗಬೇಕು.

ಬ ತಾರೀಖು ನೆ ಮಾಹೆ 191 ನೆ ಇಸವಿ,

ಮೊಕ್ಕಾಂ

By Order,

Secretary.
Rev. Dept.

3PB—1,000—8-8-11

అయ్యా

మా ఇంటి నిర్మాణం సంబంధించి కొన్ని సందేహాలు నివృత్తి చేయవలసిందిగా కోరుతూ ఈ లేఖ వ్రాస్తున్నాను.

మా ఇంటి నిర్మాణం ప్రారంభించి ఇప్పటికి ఆరు సంవత్సరాలు అవుతున్నది.

తేదీ 11.1.25

Thimmapuram

25516

No. C 252/24-25 Office of the Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore.

Dated Bangalore, 1st December 1924.

3

From

K. Chandy, Esquire., B.A.,

Muzrai Commissioner in Mysore,

Bangalore.

4-DEC 924 MUZRAI

To

K. V. Anantaraman, Esquire., B.A., Muz 306-23-b.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

Revenue Department.

Sir,

In continuation of this office letter No.C.252 of 24-25 -- dated 12th November 1924 submitting the entire records on the ---- petitions of Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni of Harihar appealing ---- against this office order confirming the Deputy Commissioner's -- order directing the sale of gutta Inam S.No.17 endowed to Sri ---- Hanumantha Devaru temple at Sirapura, Harihar Sub Taluk, I have the honor to submit herewith the petition of Basappa of Harihar received with your office Memo No.C.1598 of 24-25 dated 10th November 1924 praying for permission to cut and remove the cotton crop on the --- aforesaid S.No.at the place and which the appellant objects to.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Muzrai Commissioner.

KSR.

MUZ. 21]

Before the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Muz Appeal No. 12 of 1924-25

Appellant. Vs. Respondent

Srinivasa Sitarama Kulkarni, Harihar

Mallappa bin Siddappa, Harihar.

Subject.

Appeal against mdcn no. 19 dt 17-6-24 of the Muz. Comr in mysore Confirming the Dy. Comrs. order directing the leasing out by auction of S. no. 17 of Siapura Village, Harihar Sub-Taluk, endowed to Sri Hanumantha Devara temple in the village.

Order

The case was heard on 23rd Jany 1925; The apte being present in person and represented by his Advocate Mr. Srinivasa Rao, while the respt has absented (though served with

WD0580—GPB—250—10-8-22

The claim of the aplt to the possession and management of the land in question in his capacity of Pahiarsan has been already rejected by Courts in their orders nos. 1233 / 269-16-6 and 2577 / 274-18-2 of 27th June 1917 and 22nd Feby. 1919 respectively, and Courts see no reason to reconsider them.

The aplt now claims before Court to be given possession of the land as pujari of the temple. This is, however, a new claim which cannot be recognized without an enquiry about the pujarike right for which the aplt should apply to the local officers in the first instance.

The only point for consideration is whether in view of the long possession (for over 100 years) of the lands in question by the appellants, he may not be given the lease of the lands in preference to strangers. Both the Courts as well as the local officers recognized his preferential right to the lease

G. R. S. G/33]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

and offered it to him on the terms which had been tendered to others. But as he failed to take advantage of the offer, the Dy. Comr was obliged to sanction the lease in favor of one Sonda ~~Madd~~ Mahappa for 5 years at the rate of Rs 100 per annum. He apt is therefore himself responsible for being deprived of the lease. However, as he repents for his folly, and now expresses his willingness to take the land himself on an annual rental of Rs 100/, the lease may be given to him for a period of 5 years at the end of the

WD 2230—GPE—1,00,000—23-7-20

The question of continuing
the lease to him on the
same or modified terms
may be considered.
In view of the past
attitude of the petr., it
should be made a condition
of the lease that he
should pay half the
rental (or such other portion
as Dy. Comr may determine)
for each year in advance,
the other half being
payable before the close
of the year.

The lease granted to the
auction purchaser
Sowda Mallappa should be
terminated, the crops if any
already raised by him
this year on the land
being allowed to be
removed by him, on condition
of his paying the rental

G. R. S. G/331

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation sheet draft form.*)

for the year.

The apt has wges for the payment of a suitable remuneration to him as Pucha out of the rental values from the Land. Rev. Comr may consider the and pass such orders thereon as he considers proper.

1271

Memo
Cmr Seren file
28.2.25

Copy to the Insp. Comr with the records received with correspondence ending with his letter no C.252 of 3.12.24

N.O.

19.2.25
Dy Rev. Secretary

10/2/25

Mayor pmitted.
19/2/25
no increase
No new buildings
granted without
Govt sanction
19/2/25